

AGENDA OF THE MEETING OF THE ACADEMY OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING.

1. Welcome and list of attendees.
2. Assessment:
 - a. Analyze the proposed rubric by the academy of Cybernetics and retake it to conform it to Industrial.
3. Faculty Analysis.
4. General issues.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE MEETING.

1. Welcome and list of attendees:

The working meeting of the members of the academy of Industrial Engineering started on Friday, April 1 at 10:00 a.m. two thousand eleven in the video conference hall.

The attendees at the meeting were: Enrique Fitch (Tijuana Campus), Luisa Rosas and Socorro Lomelí (Ensenada Campus), and César Barraza and Carlos Solorio (Mexicali Campus).

2. Program Learning Outcomes.

In terms of the industrial program learning outcomes we discuss about the proposed rubric and agree on the following:

Enrique Fitch verified the proposed rubric by the academy of Cybernetics and comments: use the same structure as the rubric, but he modified in detail the assessment criteria with the intention of adapting them to the student learning outcomes SLO_II3 (Optimization and Design models) for the simulation. The academy accepts the proposal.

César Barraza: talked with Hector Vargas and commented that he is evaluating teamwork; there is a proposal to evaluate it in the other two campuses. We will send him a rubric to evaluate the SLO_II3 so he can give us his expert opinion.

The application of the rubric will be on the final paper, which corresponds to the project in which the students analyze a system and carry out the simulation with specific purposes defined for that particular task.

For the purpose of analyzing to provide recommendations that would allow us to strengthen the learning outcomes already measured (institutional and the college ones):

- a. As far as the presentation of the institutional learning outcomes, we recommend that you send us a detailed report by cohort, by campus, and by program so we can get familiar with it.
- b. In regard to the college ones, we started the request and location of the instruments made the last six months, so we can identify where we are at and start drawing conclusions.

3. Faculty analysis.

With regard to the faculty analysis and with the purpose of giving an opinion that is used, to

- Ask the directors for the report on the status of the list of faculty that the Web system provides. Socorro Lomelí will formally request this report.
- In regard to the system of faculty evaluation, since it is one more entry, to ask for a report and on the basis of the current process to give the corresponding recommendations; especially for the processes of faculty selection and training. We need knowledge on the assessment tool - self-evaluation.
- As far as the evaluation system, to point out that it has not been presented to faculty and require its explanation (to the directors so it can be promoted) in order that we can know the way of gathering information (what is the meaning, and the application in Tijuana the first two weeks of May).

4. General issues.

Results of the CENEVAL exam of the students by program in the form of a report. César will ask Hector Vargas for a copy of the analysis or the main observations he carries out.

Attendees of the Academy

César Barraza Montoya

Enrique Fitch Manjarres

Luisa Rosas Hernández

María del Socorro Lomelí Sánchez